FILED

DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM

AUG 15 2006 #

MARY L.M. MORAN CLERK OF COURT

DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM TERRITORY OF GUAM

AMERICOPTERS, LLC,

Civil Case No. 03-00005

Plaintiff,

VS.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION,

(August 15, 2006, at 9:37 a.m.)

MINUTES

) PRELIMINARY PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

Defendant.

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

ORIGINAL

() FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE () STATUS CONFERENCE

Defendant was Assistant United States Attorney Mikel Schwab.

Notes: Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff was Elyze J.T. McDonald, Esq. Appearing for the

delete the claims that were no longer viable in light of the decision of the Court of Appeals for the

Ninth Circuit. Ms. McDonald also stated that the amended complaint would further delineate the

Court's jurisdiction over the surviving claim(s), in light of the Defendant's belief that this Court

is without jurisdiction over the action. Mr. Schwab stated that his counterparts provided him with

a case which seems to suggest that the United States Court of Federal Claims - and not this Court

- is the proper forum for this action. Based on her preliminary discussion with Mr. Schwab, Ms.

McDonald stated that the Plaintiff would like to file an amended complaint to address the

Ms. McDonald stated that the Plaintiff intended to file an amended complaint in order to

1

2

9 10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Defendant's concerns. Judge Manibusan noted that the Defendant has not yet filed an answer in this action and asked whether the contemplated amended complaint would affect the dates proposed in the amended scheduling order. Counsel agreed that it should not materially alter the dates proposed. Mr. Schwab stated that while he was not opposed to the filing of an amended complaint, he was not ready to stipulate to the filing of an amended complaint at that time without first reviewing the proposed amended version. Based on the likelihood that the Plaintiff would file an amended complaint, the deadline for making initial disclosures was extended from September 15, 2006, to October 16, 2006.

Judge Manibusan went over other minor changes to the proposed amended scheduling order and discovery plan submitted by the parties. Judge Manibusan made the appropriate pen and ink changes and signed the amended scheduling order.

The conference concluded at 10:10 a.m.

Dated: June 15, 2006.